

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Anderson	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Andrews	5-Yes	1	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Angelina	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Aransas	5-Yes	4	3-Good	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Archer	5-Yes	2	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	12	40	2	Fair
Armstrong	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Atascosa	No official county website										
Austin	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Bailey	0-No	1	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	6	20	1	Bare minimum
Bandera	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	12	40	2	Fair
Bastrop	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	1-Bare	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	30	100	5	Perfect
Baylor	No official county website										
Bee	5-Yes	1	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Bell	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Bexar	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	5-Perfect	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	34	113	6	Perfect
Blanco	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Borden	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	8	27	1	Bare minimum
Bosque	5-Yes	5	3-Good	1-Bare	0-No	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Bowie	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Brazoria	5-Yes	3	2-Fair	3-Good	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	18	60	3	Good
Brazos	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	30	100	5	Perfect
Brewster	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Briscoe	5-Yes	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Brooks	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Brown	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Burleson	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Burnet	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	21	70	4	Very good
Caldwell	5-Yes	5	3-Good	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	5-Yes	24	80	4	Very good
Calhoun	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Callahan	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Cameron	5-Yes	4	3-Good	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	25	83	4	Very good
Camp	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Carson	5-Yes	3	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Cass	5-Yes	5	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Castro	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Chambers	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	21	70	4	Very good
Cherokee	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Childress	No official county website										
Clay	5-Yes	2	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Cochran	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Coke	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Coleman	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Collin	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
Collingsworth	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	4	13	1	Bare minimum
Colorado	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Comal	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
Comanche	No official county website										
Concho	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Cooke	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Coryell	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Cottle	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Crane	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Crockett	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Crosby	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Culberson	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Dallam	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Dallas	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	32	107	5	Perfect
Dawson	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Deaf Smith	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Delta	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Denton	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	33	110	6	Perfect
DeWitt	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	28	93	5	Perfect
Dickens	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Dimmit	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Donley	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Duval	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	19	63	3	Good
Eastland	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Ector	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	24	73	4	Very good
Edwards	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
El Paso	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	3-Good	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	21	70	4	Very good
Ellis	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
Erath	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	21	70	4	Very good
Falls	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Fannin	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Fayette	5-Yes	5	3-Good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	5-Yes	23	77	4	Very good
Fisher	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Floyd	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Foard	No official county website										
Fort Bend	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
Franklin	5-Yes	4	3-Good	3-Good	0-No	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Freestone	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	12	40	2	Fair
Frio	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	12	40	2	Fair
Gaines	5-Yes	5	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Galveston	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Garza	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Gillespie	5-Yes	5	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good
Glasscock	5-Yes	5	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Goliad	5-Yes	1	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	7	23	1	Bare minimum
Gonzales	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Gray	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Grayson	5-Yes	4	3-Good	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Gregg	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	5-Perfect	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	33	110	6	Perfect
Grimes	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	33	110	6	Perfect
Guadalupe	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Hale	5-Yes	3	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Hall	No official county website										
Hamilton	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	5-Perfect	0-No	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Hansford	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Hardeman	No official county website										
Hardin	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Harris	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	1-Bare	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Harrison	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	18	60	3	Good
Hartley	5-Yes	5	1-Bare minimum	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Haskell	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	19	63	3	Good
Hays	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	28	93	5	Perfect
Hemphill	0-No	3	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Henderson	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Hidalgo	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	22	73	4	Very good
Hill	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	5-Yes	20	67	3	Good
Hockley	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Hood	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	22	73	4	Very good
Hopkins	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	3-Good	0-No	0-No	0-No	12	40	2	Fair
Houston	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Howard	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Hudspeth	No official county website										
Hunt	5-Yes	4	3-Good	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Hutchison	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Irion	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Jack	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Jackson	5-Yes	5	3-Good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	23	77	4	Very good

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Jasper	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	1-Bare	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Jeff Davis	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Jefferson	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	5-Yes	27	90	5	Perfect
Jim Hogg	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Jim Wells	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	19	63	3	Good
Johnson	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Jones	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Karnes	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Kaufman	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Kendall	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	5-Yes	19	63	3	Good
Kenedy	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Kent	No official county website										
Kerr	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	22	73	4	Very good
Kimble	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
King	No official county website										
Kinney	5-Yes	1	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Kleberg	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Knox	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
La Salle	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Lamar	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Lamb	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	19	63	3	Good
Lampasas	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Lavaca	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Lee	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	5-Yes	22	73	4	Very good
Leon	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Liberty	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Limestone	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	22	73	4	Very good
Lipscomb	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Live-Oak	5-Yes	1	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	12	40	2	Fair
Llano	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	18	60	3	Good

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Loving	No official county website										
Lubbock	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	28	93	5	Perfect
Lynn	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Madison	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Marion	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Martin	No official county website										
Mason	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Matagorda	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	2-Fair	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Maverick	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
McCulloch	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
McLennan	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
McMullen	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Medina	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good
Menard	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Midland	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	26	87	4	Very good
Milam	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Mills	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Mitchell	No official county website										
Montague	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Montgomery	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	3-Good	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Moore	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Morris	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Motley	No official county website										
Nacogdoches	5-Yes	5	3-Good	3-Good	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	21	70	4	Very good
Navarro	5-Yes	4	3-Good	0-Inadequate	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Newton	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Nolan	0-No	2	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	7	23	1	Bare minimum
Nueces	5-Yes	5	3-Good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	5-Yes	18	60	3	Good
Ochiltree	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Oldham	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	18	60	3	Good

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Orange	5-Yes	3	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Palo Pinto	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	19	63	3	Good
Panola	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Parker	5-Yes	5	1-Bare minimum	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Parmer	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Pecos	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Polk	5-Yes	3	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Potter	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	22	73	4	Very good
Presidio	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Rains	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Randall	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Reagan	No official county website										
Real	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Red River	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Reeves	No official county website										
Refugio	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	28	93	5	Perfect
Roberts	No official county website										
Robertson	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Rockwall	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Runnels	5-Yes	1	2-Fair	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good
Rusk	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good
Sabine	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good
San Augustine	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
San Jacinto	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	22	73	4	Very good
San Patricio	5-Yes	4	3-Good	1-Bare	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
San Saba	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Schleicher	No official county website										
Scurry	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	10	33	2	Fair
Shackelford	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Shelby	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeq ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Sherman	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Smith	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	5-Perfect	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
Somervell	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	18	60	3	Good
Starr	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Stephens	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Sterling	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Stonewall	No official county website										
Sutton	5-Yes	5	3-Good	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	13	43	2	Fair
Swisher	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Tarrant	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	3-Good	0-No	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good
Taylor	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
Terrell	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Terry	5-Yes	5	1-Bare minimum	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	24	80	4	Very good
Throckmorton	No official county website										
Titus	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	16	53	3	Good
Tom Green	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Travis	5-Yes	4	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	5-Yes	32	107	5	Perfect
Trinity	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Tyler	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	18	60	3	Good
Upshur	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Upton	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	1-Bare	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Uvalde	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	9	30	2	Fair
Val Verde	5-Yes	4	2-Fair	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	11	37	2	Fair
Van Zandt	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Victoria	5-Yes	4	4-Very good	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	23	77	4	Very good
Walker	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	1-Bare	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	20	67	3	Good
Waller	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	2-Fair	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	27	90	5	Perfect
Ward	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Washington	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	2-Fair	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Webb	5-Yes	4	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	5-Yes	0-No	9	30	2	Fair

Adequacy of Election Voter ID Information Provided on Website, by Texas County, August 2016 (N = 254)

County name	A. Elect page?	B. Ease of Navigation	C. Adequacy of Election Information	D. Adeg ID info?	E. Link to SOS website?	F. Spanish or SOS Spanish link?	G.LWV link? (bonus points)	Total Points	% Points	Mean Score	Overall Evaluation
Wharton	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	22	73	4	Very good
Wheeler	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Wichita	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Wilbarger	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Willacy	5-Yes	5	2-Fair	2-Fair	0-No	0-No	0-No	14	47	2	Fair
Williamson	5-Yes	5	5-Perfect	3-Good	5-Yes	5-Yes	0-No	28	93	5	Perfect
Wilson	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	2-Fair	0-No	0-No	0-No	12	40	2	Fair
Winkler	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	5	17	1	Bare minimum
Wise	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
Wood	5-Yes	5	4-Very good	3-Good	0-No	0-No	0-No	17	57	3	Good
Yoakum	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	15	50	3	Good
Young	5-Yes	4	1-Bare minimum	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	18	60	3	Good
Zapata	5-Yes	5	0-Inadequate	3-Good	5-Yes	0-No	0-No	18	60	3	Good
Zavala	0-No	0	0-Inadequate	0-Inadequate	0-No	0-No	0-No	0	0	0	Inadequate
											All Counties
											#
											%
											15
											6%
											Perfect
											29
											12%
											Very good
											62
											27%
											Good
											74
											32%
											Fair
											25
											11%
											Bare min.
											29
											12%
											Inadequate
											234
											100%
											Total