

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF CALIFORNIA
LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF CALIFORNIA EDUCATION FUND
921 11th Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95814
916-442-7215; 916-442-7362, Fax
lwvc@lwvc.org / www.lwvc.org

August 1 - STATE BOARD KIT **TABLE of CONTENTS**

Annotated Agenda 3

Materials Supporting Agenda Topics:

1. Legislative Report, Propositions. Schools & Communities First, and Redistricting (Hoo/Hutchison)	8
2. Budget Projections, Financial Report (Canfield/Doute)	18
3. Fundraising Landscape for LWVC Existing Projects	None
4. Convention (Moon Goldberg)	26
5. Diversity, Equity, Inclusion (Doute)	27
6. Strategic Discussion: Defending Democracy	29
7. Strategic Discussion: Campaign Contributions	None
8. Committees	None

Materials Supporting LWVC Board Meeting:

1. Agenda Adjustments	None
2. Conflict of Interest Stated (de Llamas)	None
3. Approval of Minutes (de Llamas)	To be sent later
4. Consent Calendar (de Llamas)	To be sent later
5. Treasurer's Report	None
6. Executive Director's Report (Doute)	To be sent later
7. Program	None
8. Membership	None
9. Consideration of Motions	
a. Adoption of Revised Management Budget	None
b. Motion on Prop 18	None
c. Motion for Reconsideration of Prior Decision Prop 24	None
10. Items for Future Agendas	None
11. Other Business	None

Materials Supporting LWVCEF Board Meeting:

1. Agenda Adjustments None
2. Conflict of Interest Stated (de Llamas) None
3. Approval of Minutes (de Llamas) To be sent later
4. Consent Calendar (de Llamas) To be sent later
5. Treasurer’s Report None
6. Executive Director’s Report (Doute) To be sent later
7. Voter Service None
8. Consideration of Motions
 a. Adoption of Revised Management Budget None
9. Items for Future Agendas None
10. Other Business None

Information Only

Carol Moon Goldberg 30
Gloria Chun Hoo 31
Jacquie Canfield 32

LWVC/LWCEF

Board of Directors Meeting

August 1, 2020

Location: Zoom conference
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/8024159091>

SATURDAY - August 1, 2020

9:30 Optional coffee/tea time to chat and catch up with each other. We'll create our own little icebreaker for this.

10:00 am: Call to Order

1. 10:00 am - 10:30 am **Legislative Report** (Gloria), **Propositions, Schools & Communities 1st (Prop 15)** and **Redistricting (State & Local)** (Helen)

Purpose: Inform the board of status of advocacy work, discuss Props 18 and 24

Outcome: Board members understand what LWVC is doing in the legislative arena, Schools & Communities 1st, and redistricting. Decide how to handle Props 18 and 24

Level of discussion: strategic, tactical

2. 10:30 am - 11:15 pm **Budget Projections, Revision of Management Budget, and Financial Report** (Stephanie & Jacquie)

Purpose: Inform board of new budget projections & finances

Outcome: Board members understand, offer response, approve

Level of discussion: strategic, tactical

3. 11:15 am - 12:00 pm **Funding Landscape for LWVC existing projects**
Purpose: To inform the board of the fundraising and future plans on thank you program
Outcome: Board members understand plans & reactions to plans
Level of discussion: strategic

12:00 -12:30 pm LUNCH

4. 12:30 pm - 1:15 pm **Convention**
Purpose: discuss and explore the 2021 convention; format (assess the potential for a virtual, hybrid, or in person event); potential themes, streamlining processes, funding of convention
Outcome: Board members offer opinions and responses
Level of Discussion: generative, strategic
5. 1:15 - 2:30 pm **Diversity, Equity, Inclusion**
Purpose: expand knowledge and understanding
Outcome: Board discusses concepts and responds to suggestions
Level of discussion: generative, strategic
6. 2:30 pm - 2:45 pm **Strategic Discussion: Defending Democracy: what if?**
Purpose: discussion..where does LWVC stand if something like the use of federal agencies to deal with protestors occurs in California
Outcome: Board members understand and offer response
Level of discussion: strategic, tactical
7. 2:45 pm - 3:00 pm **Strategic Discussion: Campaign Contributions in terms of certain electoral offices**
Purpose: discuss requests to support asking certain candidates to refrain from accepting campaign contributions from certain donors or point out conflicts of interest by accepting the contributions
Outcome: reach agreement and understand the basis for it
Level of discussion: strategic, tactical

8. **3:00 to 3:30 Committees: Organization, function, and relationship to LWVC**

Purpose: learn and discuss existence, use, and organization of various committees

Outcome: Board members gain understanding

Level of discussion: strategic, tactical

3:30 - 3:45 break

9. Business Meeting--see agenda

League of Women Voters of California
Board of Directors Meeting - August 1, 2020
A G E N D A

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| 4:00 pm Call to Order | Moon Goldberg |
| Roll Call: | de Llamas |
| President Carol Moon Goldberg | |
| First Vice President Jacquie Canfield | |
| Second Vice President Gloria Chun Hoo | |
| Secretary Caroline de Llamas | |
| Director Maxine Anderson | |
| Director Amanda Berg | |
| Director Christina Dragonetti | |
| Director Tracey Edwards | |
| Director Debbie Fagen | |
| Director Helen Hutchison | |
| Director Lori Thiel | |
| Staff: Executive Director Stephanie Doute | |
| | |
| 1. Agenda adjustments | (3 min) Moon Goldberg |
| 2. Conflict of Interest Stated | (2 min) de Llamas |
| 3. Approval of Minutes - | |
| May 16, 2020 – LWVC Regular Meeting | (5 min) de Llamas |
| May 22, 2020 – LWVC Executive Committee | |
| June 4, 2020 – LWVC Executive Committee | |
| June 8, 2020 – LWVC Executive Committee | |
| June 9, 2020 – LWVC Email Meeting | |
| June 17, 2020 – LWVC Special Board Meeting | |
| June 23, 2020 – LWVC Email Meeting | |
| July 15, 2020 – LWVC Special Board Meeting. | |
| 4. Consent Calendar - May 16, 2020 - Routine Items | (5 min) de Llamas |
| 5. Treasurer’s Report | (10 min) Canfield |
| 6. Executive Director’s Report | (5 min) Doute |
| 7. Program | (15min) |
| 8. Membership | (0 min) |
| 9. Consideration of Motions: | |
| Adoption of revised management budget | (10 min) Canfield |
| Motion on Prop 18 | |
| Motion for reconsideration of prior decision Prop 24 | |
| 10. Closed Session--discussion of personnel | |
| 11. Items for future agendas | |
| 12. Other Business | |
| 13. Adjournment by 4:45 p.m. | |

League of Women Voters of California Education Fund
Board of Directors Meeting - August 1, 2020
A G E N D A

4:45 pm Call to Order

Moon Goldberg
de Llamas

Roll Call:

President Carol Moon Goldberg
First Vice President Jacquie Canfield
Second Vice President Gloria Chun Hoo
Secretary Caroline de Llamas
Director Maxine Anderson
Director Amanda Berg
Director Christina Dragonetti
Director Tracey Edwards
Director Debbie Fagen
Director Helen Hutchison
Director Lori Thiel
Staff: Executive Director Stephanie Doute

- | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Agenda adjustments | (3 min) Moon Goldberg |
| 2. Conflict of Interest Stated | (2 min) de Llamas |
| 3. Approval of Minutes - | (2 min) de Llamas |

Regular Meeting -- May 16, 2020

- | | |
|---|-------------------|
| 4. Consent Calendar – Routine Matters | (5 min) de Llamas |
| 5. Treasurer’s Report | (10 min) Canfield |
| a. Financial reports | |
| 6. Executive Director’s Report | (5 min) Doute |
| 7. Voter Service | (10 min) Goldberg |
| 8. Motions: Adoption of revised management budget | (10 min) Canfield |
| 9. Items for future agendas | |
| 10. Other Business | |
| 11. Adjournment by 5:30 p.m. | |

LWVC Leaders Update Review

Ballot Proposition Discussion

Gloria Chun Hoo

Purpose: Board deliberation on supporting, opposing, taking a neutral or no position on Props 18 (ACA 4) and 24 on the November 2020 ballot.

Process: Presentation by the VP of Advocacy followed by discussion of anticipated ballot measures.

Outcome: Ultimately, there will be a motion to either support, oppose, to be neutral, or take no position on Prop 18 during the formal board meeting. There may also be a motion to reconsider the earlier decision on Prop 24.

Preparation: Please review the analyses written by LWVC Legislative Analysts or Program Directors.

Background Information

Prop 18 is the remaining proposition that the Board has not yet considered. Please see the LWVC Legislative Analyst's report.

Also to be considered, or rather, reconsidered is the Board's previous decision to support Prop 24, the California Privacy Rights and Enforcement Act of 2020. There is new information on this bill that might prompt reconsideration of that position.

Please see this [article](#) and the analyses of ACA 4 below.

Analyst Name Margo Reeg
Today's Date February 26, 2019
Advocacy Area Government
[League Position 1](#) Voting Rights: LWVC-
Protect every citizen's right to vote
[League Position 3](#) LWVUS –**Citizen's Right
to Vote**--Protect the right of all citizens to vote

Subject 17-year-olds voting
Bill Amendment Assessment Choose an item.

Bill No. & Author ACA 4 Mullin
Date Bill Introduced January 18, 2019
Most Recent Amendment [Click here to enter a
date.](#)
[League Position 2](#)
LWVC #5
LWVC # 8
[League Position 4](#) LWVC--Citizenship, age,
and residency should be reasonable
requirements of registration.

[Secondary Position Analysis Recommended](#)
[Secondary Position Area](#) Choose an item.

[LA Recommendation:](#) Support X Oppose Support if Amended Take No Position
[PD Recommendation:](#) Support Level given significance to [League Position](#) Choose an item.
[AD Recommendation:](#) Support Level given significance to [Advocacy Area](#) Choose an item.

Current Law Summarized

The California Constitution authorizes any person who is a United States citizen, at least 18 years of age, and a resident of the state to vote.

The Bill's Changes to Current Law

This bill would authorize a United States citizen who is 17 years of age, is a resident of the state, and will be at least 18 years of age at the time of the next general election to vote in any intervening primary or special election that occurs before the next general election.

Argument(s) that Justify League Action

Allowing pre-registered 17-year-olds to vote in primary and special elections when they will be officially able to vote in the General Election makes sense because they will be able to help choose the candidates who will be on the General Election ballot. This will generate more interest in voting and give the young person a sense of responsibility in deciding for whom to vote.

In addition, most 17-year-olds are in high school and enrolled in a government class during the year, so they will be involved in discussion of ballot issues and can participate in Mock Elections and debates to better understand what the candidates represent. Being able to vote on the issues and candidates that they have been discussing, especially in the primary, should be very motivating.

According to FairVote, 23 states and DC allow 17-year-olds to vote in presidential primaries. DC and 16 states allow them to vote in congressional primaries.

Comments:

Learning about candidates and issues for an election you are not eligible to vote in is discouraging or disappointing. Once a young person starts to vote, she is more likely to continue the habit at future elections. In 2020, the primary will be during the regular school year which should interest students. One study found that younger voters don't actually make worse voting decisions than older voters do.

THIRD READING

Bill No: ACA 4

Author: Mullin (D) and Low (D), et al.

Amended: 6/20/20 in Senate

Vote: 27

SENATE ELECTIONS & C.A. COMMITTEE: 4-1, 6/23/20

AYES: Umberg, Hertzberg, Leyva, Stern

NOES: Nielsen

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: 5-1, 6/24/20

AYES: Portantino, Bradford, Hill, Leyva, Wieckowski

NOES: Jones

NO VOTE RECORDED: Bates

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 58-13, 8/22/19 - See last page for vote

SUBJECT: Elections: voting age

SOURCE: Author

DIGEST: This constitutional amendment permits a 17-year-old who will be 18 by the time of the next general election to vote at any primary or special election that occurs before the next general election.

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

1. Requires an elector to be at least 18 years old in order to vote in any local, state, or federal election.
2. Permits a person who is a United States (US) citizen, a resident of California, not in prison or on parole for the conviction of a felony, and is at least 18 years of age at the time of the next election to register to vote in any local, state, or federal election.
3. Allows a person who is at least 16 years old and otherwise meets all voter eligibility requirements to preregister to vote. Provides that the registration will be deemed effective as soon as the affiant is 18 years old at the time of the next election.

This constitutional amendment permits a US citizen who is 17 years of age, is a California resident, and will be at least 18 years of age at the time of the next general election to vote in any primary or special election that occurs before the next general election in which the citizen would be eligible to vote if at least 18 years of age.

Background

Consistent with United States Constitution. The Twenty Sixth Amendment to the US Constitution states, "The right of citizens of the United States, who are eighteen years of age or older, to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any state on account of age." Additionally, Article II, Section 2 of the California Constitution states, "A United States citizen 18 years of age and resident in this State may vote." Since the US Constitution only addresses abridging the right to vote and this measure expands voting rights, there does not appear to be a conflict with the federal constitution. In an opinion dated April 12, 2004, the Legislative Counsel opined that an amendment to the California Constitution to permit a person under the age of 18 to vote would not violate federal law.

Other States. Although it appears that no state allows people under the age of 18 to vote in federal general elections, according to information from the National Conference of State Legislatures, at least 18 states (Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia) and the District of Columbia permit a 17-year-old to vote in a primary election if the voter will turn 18 by the time of the general election. In some other states, 17-year-olds are allowed to participate in presidential caucuses if they will be 18 by the date of the general election, though the eligibility requirements for participating in a presidential caucus generally is determined by the political party conducting the caucus.

At least two localities (Takoma Park and Hyattsville, Maryland) have allowed 16- and 17-year-olds to vote in municipal elections. Takoma Park first permitted 16- and 17-year-olds to vote in its elections held in 2013, and Hyattsville first allowed 16- and 17-year-olds to vote in its 2015 elections. The city of Greenbelt, Maryland amended its charter in 2018 to allow 16- and 17-year-olds to vote in municipal elections. The first election in Greenbelt with a lower voting age requirement was held in November 2019.

Lowering the Voting Age in California. In 2016, voters in the City of Berkeley approved a charter amendment that permits the City Council to lower the voting age to 16 years old for school board elections.

In 2020, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors introduced a charter amendment that would permit 16- and 17-year-old residents to vote in San Francisco's municipal elections. In 2016, a similar ballot measure, known as Proposition F, was proposed and subsequently rejected by San Francisco voters.

Additionally, in 2020, the Oakland City Council voted to submit a ballot measure to the voters for the November 3, 2020 general election that would amend the city's charter to authorize the City Council to allow eligible individuals who are at least 16 years old to vote for the office of School Director by ordinance.

Comments

1. According to the author, ACA 4 will allow individuals who are 17 years of age who will be 18 years of age by the general election to register to vote and to vote in primary and special elections that occur after the individual registers to vote. This measure will amplify the voices of young voters in California by allowing more first-time voters to take part in the full election cycle rather than just the general election. This important change will result in a more inclusive election process in California, ensuring that first-time voters have the opportunity to select candidates that will ultimately appear on the November ballot.

This would also create an opportunity to increase voter turnout among youth voters. Allowing 17-year-olds to register and participate in primary elections would complement the civic education many receive in high

school. Research indicates that voting is habit-forming. Early involvement in the electoral process for first-time voters should be a high priority for this reason.

2. *More than a Primary.* Unlike other states, California and numerous local jurisdictions within California use the state's primary election for other elective purposes beyond deciding which candidates qualify for the general election. For example, the Legislature is able to place a ballot measure on the primary ballot. In the March 2020 election, there was one statewide ballot measure on the ballot. Additionally, many local jurisdictions (counties, cities, school boards, special districts, etc.) also elect their members and/or place ballot measures for voter approval on the primary ballot. For a large number of these jurisdictions, there is no run-off in the general election. This measure does not prohibit a voter under the age of 18 from voting on any contest on the ballot in a primary. However, it should be noted that many of the contests on a primary ballot will not appear on ballot for the general election.
3. *Other Election Dates.* Local elections can also take place on an established election date other than the statewide primary date and the day of the general election. For example, an election was held on April 14, 2020 in Los Angeles County for few charter cities. Among the cities taking part in the April 14 elections, the City of Lancaster elected a mayor, councilmembers, and decided on a ballot measure. This election and other elections held on this date were not special elections nor a primary election.
4. *Age of Majority.* This measure breaks with traditional notions of the age of majority and the responsibilities and privileges that accompany it. For the most part, California law does not allow minors to enter into civil contracts, including marriage, or to be held to the same standards of accountability in criminal matters, except in certain circumstances. With a few limited exceptions (most notably the legal drinking age and the legal smoking age), California confers the legal rights and responsibilities attendant with adulthood on those individuals who are 18 years of age or older.
5. *Approval by Voters.* As a constitutional amendment, this measure requires the approval of the voters to take effect. Legislation making statutory changes necessary to implement this measure would also be required.

Related/Prior Legislation

ACA 8 (Low of 2020), lowers the voting age to 17 year olds. Similarly, ACA 10 (Low of 2017) proposed lowering the voting age to 17. ACA 10 failed passage on the Assembly Floor.

ACA 6 (McCarty of 2020), permits a person who is on parole for the conviction of a felony to register to vote and to vote.

ACA 7 (Gonzalez of 2016), would have permitted 16 and 17 year olds to vote in school and community college district governing board elections, as specified. A vote was not taken when the measure was heard in the Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting.

AB 2517 (Thurmond of 2016), would have allowed a charter city to permit 16 and 17 year olds to vote in school district elections if those elections are governed by the city's charter, as specified. A vote was not taken when the bill was heard in the Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting.

ACA 2 (Mullin of 2015), ACA 7 (Mullin of 2013), ACA 2 (Furutani of 2009), ACA 17 (Mullin of 2005), and ACA 25 (Mullin of 2004), all were similar to ACA 4. All of these measures were approved by the Assembly Elections & Redistricting Committee (or, in the case of ACA 25 of 2004, the Assembly Elections, Redistricting, and Constitutional Amendments Committee), but none of the measures passed off the Assembly Floor.

SB 113 (Jackson, Chapter 619, Statutes of 2014), expanded pre-registration by authorizing a 16 year old to pre-register to vote, provided the person meets all other eligibility requirements.

AB 30 (Price, Chapter 364, Statutes of 2009), allowed a person who is 17 years of age to pre-register to vote, provided he or she would otherwise meet all eligibility requirements.

SCA 19 (Vasconcellos of 2004), initially proposed to lower the voting age to 14 years, with votes by 14 and 15 year olds counting as one-quarter of a vote, and votes by 16 and 17 year olds counting as one-half of a vote. SCA 19 subsequently was amended instead to lower the voting age to 16, with all votes counting equally as a single vote. SCA 19 failed passage in the Senate Appropriations Committee.

ACA 23 (Speier of 1995), proposed lowering the voting age to 14, but was never set for a hearing in the Assembly Elections, Reapportionment, and Constitutional Amendments Committee.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: No

According to the Senate Appropriations Committee, this measure would result in one-time General Fund costs to the Secretary of State (SOS) in the range of \$480,000 to \$640,000, likely in 2020-21, for printing and mailing costs to place the measure on the ballot in a statewide election. Actual costs may be higher or lower, depending on the length of required elements and the overall size of the ballot.

Additionally, the measure would result in one-time increased General Fund costs of roughly \$250,000 for SOS to provide new or replenish existing registration cards and for changes to the VoteCal system, including validation and modifications on the California Online Voter Registration website (COVR).

SUPPORT: (Verified 6/24/20)

Secretary of State Alex Padilla
Alliance for Boys and Men of Color
California Association of Student Councils
California League of Conservation Voters
California School Boards Association
California YMCA Youth & Government
IGNITE
League of Women Voters of California
Peninsula Young Democrats
Power California

University of California Student Association

OPPOSITION: (Verified 6/24/20)

California Election Integrity Project, Inc.

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: In a letter supporting ACA 4, the California Association of Student Councils, stated, in part, the following:

This amendment would serve as a means to further channel the civic enthusiasm among 17 year olds into the habitual practice of voting. By allowing students who will turn 18 before the general election the chance to be involved in the preliminary stages, California would provide them with an incentive to follow through in the elections process rather than asking them to dive head first into the general election.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: In a letter opposing ACA 4, California Election Integrity Project, Inc., stated, in part, the following:

...18-year-olds will almost always be high school graduates by November, either beginning to earn their way in the world or beginning to prepare for a future career by vocational training or enrollment in an institution of higher learning. This entrance into “real life” creates a sobering and maturing effect that 17-year-olds will not have experienced. Most will see the world differently, more responsibly, and will feel more ownership for their own lives and the political decisions that affect them. Only when people reach that stage can they cast an educated vote.

There is NO legitimate reason to extend voting rights to legal minors, and many scientific and logical reasons not to.

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 58-13, 8/22/19

AYES: Aguiar-Curry, Arambula, Bauer-Kahan, Berman, Bloom, Boerner Horvath, Bonta, Burke, Calderon, Carrillo, Cervantes, Chau, Chiu, Chu, Cooley, Cooper, Daly, Diep, Eggman, Friedman, Gabriel, Cristina Garcia, Eduardo Garcia, Gipson, Gloria, Gonzalez, Grayson, Holden, Irwin, Jones-Sawyer, Kalra, Kamlager-Dove, Limón, Maienschein, McCarty, Medina, Mullin, Muratsuchi, Nazarian, O'Donnell, Petrie-Norris, Quirk, Quirk-Silva, Ramos, Reyes, Luz Rivas, Robert Rivas, Rodriguez, Blanca Rubio, Salas, Santiago, Smith, Mark Stone, Ting, Voepel, Wicks, Wood, Rendon

NOES: Bigelow, Brough, Choi, Cunningham, Fong, Gallagher, Kiley, Lackey, Levine, Mathis, Obernolte, Patterson, Waldron

NO VOTE RECORDED: Chen, Flora, Frazier, Gray, Low, Mayes, Melendez, Weber

Prepared by: Scott Matsumoto / E. & C.A. / (916) 651-4106
6/25/20 0:11:00

**** END ****

LWVC has four (4) options in terms of ballot propositions. All decisions are made based on League positions plus other relevant considerations. Support or oppose are self explanatory. Taking no position is an option usually used when LWVC has no relevant basis upon which to act. A neutral position might be taken if there are conflicting LWVC positions or if the internal components of a proposition conflict with League positions.

Schools & Communities First (Prop 15) Redistricting at State and Local Levels Helen Hutchison

Schools & Communities First Campaign – Prop. 15

The Yes on 15 campaign is moving forward assuming a virtual campaign through the election. This includes phone banking done from your own house, an app that allows you to reach out to your personal contacts via email or text messages, letter writing to other voters, letters to the editor, social media, speaking to groups around the state, and soliciting endorsements from elected officials. League members have jumped in all of these areas, helping get the word out in their local communities. My work has been answering questions, and connecting local Leagues with other groups, as well as with the campaign staff.

State Redistricting

LWVC is continuing the work it did in 2010-11 in monitoring the process followed in the creation and formation of the inaugural Citizen's Redistricting Commission. LWVC is working with a group of partners – Common Cause, MALDEF, NALEO Education Fund, AAAJ-ALC, ACLU, California Calls, Inland Empowerment, Advancement Project, Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights (CHIRLA), California Native Vote Project, California League of Conservation Voters – to observe and comment on the process.

This work started with the process of interviewing and selecting the 60 finalists for the Commission, and is now continuing with the meetings of the new Commission. These comments have included public comments during meetings; letters from various groups of the partners to the Applicant Review Panel (ARP), to the Auditor and its staff, and now to the Commission itself. Topics addressed by these comments and letters include recommendations on applying the requirement for a diversity of candidates; the concern that the Auditor's staff was stepping outside their role of support for the new Commission into a more directive role; and recommendations for organization of the new Commission.

The first eight ("lucky eight") members of the 2020 Citizens Redistricting Commission (CRC) were chosen by random drawing. They are starting their training, and will be choosing the final six ("the chosen") commissioners by the middle of August.

The next steps for the state redistricting work include organizing League members around the state to observe meetings of the 2020/21 CRC. We have material from the 2010 process as a starting point. My hope is that this work will be a model for local Leagues to use as they observe and comment on their local redistricting processes.

Aside from monitoring the formation of the Commission, last month the League sent an amicus letter (brief) supporting deadline extensions for new district maps. On July 17th the California

Supreme Court granted an extension for the redistricting deadlines which were impacted by the Census data delays caused by COVID-19.

Redistricting Legislation--Local Level

AB 1276 (Bonta) is a follow-up bill to AB 849 (Bonta), which mandated rules for city and county redistricting. We were a cosponsor of AB 849, and have signed on as a cosponsor of AB 1276. The leader group is Asians Americans Advancing Justice – Asian Law Caucus (AAAJ-ALC). Others in the group of cosponsors are Common Cause California, Mi Familia Vota, and MALDEF.

While the main purpose of AB 1276 was some simple clean up language, it has become more complex because of the delay of the census data, resulting in a scramble to coordinate deadline changes. The new districts need to be in place in time for candidates to file for office, while at the same time allowing for maximum public input during the process of drawing the new district lines. Fall 2021 will be an intense season of line drawing.

Local Redistricting

The local redistricting work is a combination of work on AB 1276 (Bonta), and recruiting people for local redistricting commissions around the state. Local Leagues have been strong recruitment partners. A very similar group of partners to that working on state redistricting is working together on local redistricting. This group is joined by various local groups, depending on the city or county. My role has been to provide a consistent state League voice, ensure that the local Leagues are engaged when and where they should be, and also as an LWV Oakland member.

Local Redistricting Toolkit

Once AB 1276 is finalized and signed into law, the [LWVCEF Fair Maps California Local Redistricting Toolkit](#) will need to be updated to reflect the changes in the dates.

Legislation Report

Gloria Chun Hoo

The already shortened legislative session was further shortened when several legislators and staff members tested positive for COVID 19. The Capitol Building was closed down for intense cleaning and is to reopen for hearings on July 27, 2020. It's going to be an intense run from July 27 to August 31.

There are elections related bills still to be heard in committee. They are [SB 423](#) (in person location) and [SB 970](#) (changing gubernatorial primaries back to March).

The California Racial Justice Act was tabled again until next year when the sponsors (LWVC among them) plan to bring it back. The California Racial Justice Act (Act) would prohibit the state from seeking or obtaining a criminal conviction, or from imposing a sentence, based upon race, ethnicity or national origin.

Three criminal justice bills are still in the mix and it is hoped will have a hearing. They are:

- [AB 3070](#) prohibits discrimination in jury selection,
- [AB 2512](#) prevents people with intellectual disabilities from being given the death penalty, and
- [SB 144](#) eliminate many administrative fees for people in the criminal justice system.

LWVC and LWVCEF Proposed Revised 20/21 Budgets Canfield

Since the May board meeting, the following budget adjustments are proposed. All other assumptions remained the same:

- Projected MAL revenue increased \$4K
- Staff time on projects were distributed differently based on project needs – shifts more costs to the EF grants (approximately \$14K)
- Slightly lowered estimated personnel travel benefit (\$1K)
- Includes \$6K payment to the ED search firm from the strategic initiative funds in the LWVCEF ending balance.

The following is a description of the three different financial reports that are being provided for our discussion on the proposed revised 20/21 budgets and narrative to help you review the information.

- 1) **Convention Summary Format** - This document provides the financial information in the same summary format as convention. It shows the 19/20 projections and the draft proposed revised budget for 20/21, the original 20/21 budget and a difference between the draft proposed revised budget and the original budget for 20/21 for the LWVC and LWVCEF organizations. The report also includes some notes as to the larger differences. Below is a high level summary of the differences. Again it is important to note the difference is from the original 20/21 budget. The 19/20 projections are provided to allow the board to see how revenues and expenses are ending for the year to assist with comparison to the draft proposed revised budget.
 - a. LWVC
 - i. Revenues are recommended to be reduced by \$80K with the largest changes in MyLO revenue and contributions
 - ii. Expenses are recommend to be reduced by \$54K with the largest changes personnel, in-person board meetings, and independent contractors
 - iii. The draft proposed revised budget shows a surplus of \$5K.
 - iv. Upon the board approval, the revised budget will become the LWVC management budget and will be utilized in future financial reports.
 - b. LWVCEF
 - i. Revenues are recommended to be reduced \$65K. However, it is important to note we have a new large in-kind revenue of \$86K. If we did not have this in-kind revenue, we would be recommending revenues reduced \$151K.
 - ii. Expenses are recommended to increase \$17K. However, it is again important to note if we did not have the new large in-kind expense of \$86K, expenses would be lower by \$69K.
 - iii. Overall the fund is deficit spending due to funds being received in the prior year. For the CIVFR funds, this is appropriate. While Voters Edge has the funds for 20/21, we are spending faster than the funds coming in for future years. It will be important to address this as we move into future years.

- 2) **Compare 19/20 Projections versus 20/21 Proposed Revised Budget** – This report provides the financial information down to the detail object level. The report shows the 19/20 year end projections and the 20/21 proposed revised budgets.
- 3) **Compare Proposed Revised Budget for 20/21 versus the Original 20/21 Budget** – This report provides the financial information down to the detail object level. The report includes the 20/21 proposed revised budgets and the 20/21 original budgets and a difference between the two budgets.
- 4) Upon the board approval, the revised budget will become the LWVCEF budget and will be utilized in future financial reports.

Convention Summary Format

League of Women Voters of California	Proj 2019/20	Draft 2020/21	Original 2020/21	Diff Draft 20/21 vs Org 20/21	% Diff	Notes
Membership Dues	\$ 197,904	\$ 184,330	\$ 188,894	\$ (4,564)	-2%	PMP adjusted to National January membership report
Contributions	109,053	111,000	143,150	\$ (32,150)	-22%	Reduce contribution to similar level as prior years
In-Kind Revenue	750					
Earned Revenues	118,345	119,600	177,300	\$ (57,700)	-33%	Reduce MyLO revenue to similar experience level in 19/20
Convention		135,000	121,500	\$ 13,500	11%	Add revenue for corporate sponsors/vendors
Misc. Inc.	3,048	2,580	2,100	\$ 480	23%	CD Investment Income
Total Income	\$ 429,100	\$ 552,510	\$ 632,944	\$ (80,434)	-13%	
Salaries and Benefits	\$ 291,630	287,619	\$ 321,841	\$ (34,222)	-11%	Reduce 1.5 FTE, no pay increases 19/20 and 20/21
Travel	17,000	123,789	134,785	\$ (10,996)	-8%	Reduce board meetings to 3 in-person including convention
Services/Professional Fees	81,029	51,773	67,110	\$ (15,337)	-23%	Converted the accounting fees to personnel
In-Kind Expense	13,000	6,000		\$ 6,000		
Office and Occupancy	66,366	\$ 78,057	77,466	\$ 591	1%	Personnel chg distributes expenses differently across organizations
Total Expenses	\$ 469,025	\$ 547,238	\$ 601,202	\$ (53,964)	-9%	
Net Ordinary Income	\$ (39,925)	\$ 5,272	\$ 31,742	\$ (26,470)		
Beginning Balance	\$ 227,786	\$ 187,861	\$ 240,982	\$ (53,121)		
Ending Balance	\$ 187,861	\$ 193,133	\$ 272,724	\$ (79,591)		
Ending Balance Components						
Operational Reserve	\$ 115,000	\$ 142,080	\$ 228,041	\$ (85,961)	-38%	Increase reserve for planned net income
Undesignated Funds	\$ 18,808	\$ -		\$ -		
Trudy Schafter Fellowship	\$ 54,053	\$ 51,053	\$ 44,683	\$ 6,370	14%	Continue to raise funds and utilize the following year

League of Women Voters of California Education Fund	Proj 2019/20	Draft 2020/21	Original 2020/21	Diff Draft 20/21 vs Org 20/21	% Diff	Notes
Membership Dues	\$ 10,500	\$ 9,400	\$ 9,400	\$ -	0%	
Contributions	200,533	200,550	270,550	(70,000)	-26%	Lowering contributions to levels seen in the past
Restricted Contributions/Grants	156,100	180,000	240,650	(60,650)	-25%	Library grant for Easy Voter Guide \$80K, CIVFR \$75K, and \$25K TBD education grant, Removes \$50K and \$75K TBD grants
In Kind Revenue		86,000	-	86,000		Snapchat in-kind voter education grant of \$65K and \$20K for K.Armstrong time on CIVFR
Earned Revenues	28,689	35,000	55,000	(20,000)	-36%	Reduce revenue from county registrators to levels seen in the past
Miscellaneous Income	1,289	4,100	4,100	-	0%	
Total Income	\$ 397,111	\$ 515,050	\$ 579,700	(64,650)	-11%	
Salaries and Benefits	\$ 250,654	\$ 258,480	\$ 286,494	(28,014)	-10%	Reduce 1.5 FTE, no pay increases 19/20 and 20/21
Travel	14,500	14,239	27,242	(13,003)	-48%	Reduce board meetings to 3 in-person including convention
Services/Professional Fees	122,081	181,792	179,341	2,451	1%	\$100K for CIVFR software. Removes expense placeholder for TBD grants of \$107K
In-Kind Expense		86,000		86,000		Snapchat in-kind voter education grant of \$65K and \$20K for K.Armstrong time on CIVFR
Office and Occupancy	51,231	76,120	84,874	(8,754)	-10%	Personnel chg distributes expenses differently across organizations
Total Expenses	\$ 438,466	\$ 616,631	\$ 577,951	\$ 38,680	7%	
Net Ordinary Income	\$ (41,355)	(101,581)	1,749	(103,330)		
Beginning Balance	\$ 237,430	\$ 196,075	\$ 244,916	(48,841)		
Ending Balance	\$ 196,075	\$ 94,494	\$ 246,665	(152,171)		
Ending Balance Components						
Temporarily Restricted	\$ 121,980	\$ 11,492	\$ 80,197	(68,705)	-86%	Planned used of grants received in prior years
Board Designated Funds	\$ 39,047	\$ 33,047	\$ 39,047	(6,000)	-15%	Reserve for strategic one time needs, use \$6K for ED Search Firm
Operational Reserves	\$ 8,222	\$ 8,222	\$ 127,421	(119,199)	-94%	Maintaining current reserves in this challenge time if possible
Undesignated Funds	\$ 26,826	\$ 41,733	\$ -	\$ 41,733		Utilize funds for Voters Edge

	2019/20 Projection							2020/21 Draft Budgets						
	General Fund	Education Fund			Combined			General Fund	Education Fund			Combined		
		Unrestricted	Restricted	Total	Unrestricted	Restricted	Total		Unrestricted	Restricted	Total	Unrestricted	Restricted	Total
Membership Dues	\$ 197,904	\$ 10,500	\$ -	\$ 10,500	\$ 208,404	\$ -	\$ 208,404	\$ 184,330	\$ 9,400	\$ -	\$ 9,400	\$ 193,730	\$ -	\$ 193,730
Grants	\$ 750	\$ 53,100	\$ 103,000	\$ 156,100	\$ 53,850	\$ 103,000	\$ 156,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000	\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000
In-Kind Revenue										\$ 86,000	\$ 86,000	\$ -	\$ 86,000	\$ 86,000
Contributions	109,053	158,263	42,270	\$ 200,533	267,316	42,270	309,586	111,000	150,550	50,000	200,550	261,550	50,000	311,550
Convention	-	-	-	\$ -	-	-	-	135,000	-	-	\$ -	135,000	-	135,000
Earned Revenues	118,345	28,689	-	\$ 28,689	147,034	-	147,034	119,600	35,000	-	\$ 35,000	154,600	-	154,600
Misc. Inc.	3,048	1,289	-	\$ 1,289	4,337	-	4,337	2,580	4,100	-	\$ 4,100	6,680	-	6,680
Total Income	\$ 429,100	\$ 251,841	\$ 145,270	\$ 397,111	\$ 680,941	\$ 145,270	\$ 826,211	\$ 552,510	\$ 199,050	\$ 316,000	\$ 515,050	\$ 751,560	\$ 316,000	\$ 1,067,560
Personnel	291,630	138,846	111,808	250,654	\$ 430,476	111,808	\$ 542,284	287,619	115,316	143,164	258,480	\$ 402,935	143,164	\$ 546,099
Accounting Fees	10,587	8,116	-	8,116	18,703	-	18,703	1,800	1,800	-	1,800	3,600	-	3,600
Bank Charge Fees	7,457	2,157	40	2,197	9,614	40	9,654	8,400	3,000	500	3,500	11,400	500	11,900
Supplies	6,128	3,115	1,025	4,140	9,243	1,025	10,268	4,292	739	1,966	2,705	5,031	1,966	6,997
Legal	3,152	-	-	-	-	-	3,152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telecommunications	4,500	2,748	1,546	4,294	7,248	1,546	8,794	7,473	1,368	3,910	5,278	8,841	3,910	12,751
Postage/Shipping	2,052	9,546	292	9,838	11,598	292	11,890	6,907	6,107	10,518	16,625	13,014	10,518	23,532
Occupancy	14,453	8,794	5,906	14,700	23,247	5,906	29,153	15,617	6,724	6,603	13,327	22,341	6,603	28,944
Equipment Rental & Maint.	3,194	1,932	1,143	3,075	5,126	1,143	6,269	3,166	1,020	1,333	2,353	4,186	1,333	5,519
Printing & Publications	9,024	4,771	302	5,073	13,795	302	14,097	9,915	3,895	19,687	23,582	13,810	19,687	33,497
Travel/Food/Lodging	17,000	12,373	2,127	14,500	29,373	2,127	31,500	123,789	10,618	3,621	14,239	134,407	3,621	138,028
Promoton	1,200	240	96	336	1,440	96	1,536	6,258	-	500	500	6,258	500	6,758
Councils/Convention	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Insurance	10,566	3,272	4,306	7,578	13,838	4,306	18,144	11,229	2,157	4,293	6,450	13,386	4,293	17,679
LWVUS Mal Dues	4,640	-	-	-	4,640	-	4,640	4,800	-	-	-	4,800	-	4,800
Fees & Subscriptions	16,442	12,997	3,610	16,607	29,439	3,610	33,049	16,463	3,588	5,685	9,273	20,051	5,685	25,736
Ind. Contractors	54,000	34,108	63,250	97,358	88,108	63,250	151,358	33,510	10,290	162,229	172,519	43,800	162,229	206,029
Bad Debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
In-Kind Expense	13,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000	-	86,000	86,000	6,000	86,000	92,000
EF Contribution to Restricted	-	(18,000)	18,000	-	-	-	-	-	23,521	(23,521)	-	23,521	(23,521)	-
Contribution to Ed Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Min-Grants	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scholarship	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Expense	469,025	\$ 225,015	213,451	438,466	\$ 694,040	213,451	\$ 907,491	\$ 547,238	\$ 190,143	426,488	616,631	\$ 737,381	426,488	\$ 1,163,869
Net Ordinary Income	\$ (39,925)	\$ 26,826	\$ (68,181)	\$ (41,355)	\$ (13,099)	\$ (68,181)	\$ (81,280)	\$ 5,272	\$ 8,907	\$ (110,488)	\$ (101,581)	\$ 14,179	\$ (110,488)	\$ (96,309)
Beginning Balance Components														
Easy Voter Guide				\$ -						\$ -	\$ -			
Voters Edge/Smart Voter			\$ 104,706	\$ 104,706						\$ 26,904	\$ 26,904			
Hopewell Foundation														
Redistricting			\$ 15,000											
SVCF and New Grant			\$ 70,455							\$ 95,076	\$ 95,076			
Irvine Foundation				\$ -							\$ -			
Total Beginning Fund Balance (plus / (less) Net Ordinary Income and Utilization of	\$ 227,786	\$ 47,269	\$ 190,161	\$ 237,430	\$ 275,055	\$ 190,161	\$ 465,216	\$ 187,861	\$ 74,095	\$ 121,980	\$ 196,075	\$ 261,956	\$ 121,980	\$ 383,936
Prior Year Temp Restricted	(39,925)	\$ 26,826	\$ (68,181)	\$ (41,355)	\$ (13,099)	\$ (68,181)	\$ (81,280)	5,272	\$ 8,907	\$ (110,488)	\$ (101,581)	\$ 14,179	\$ (110,488)	\$ (96,309)
Ending Fund Balance	\$ 187,861	\$ 74,095	\$ 121,980	\$ 196,075	\$ 261,956	\$ 121,980	\$ 383,936	\$ 193,133	\$ 83,002	\$ 11,492	\$ 94,494	\$ 276,135	\$ 11,492	\$ 287,627
Reserve	\$ 115,000	\$ 8,222			\$ 123,222		\$ 123,222	\$ 142,080	\$ 8,222			\$ 150,302		\$ 150,302
Undesignated Funds	\$ 18,808	\$ 26,826			\$ 26,826		\$ 26,826		\$ 41,733			\$ 41,733		\$ 41,733
Board Designated Funds		\$ 39,047			\$ 39,047				\$ 33,047			\$ 33,047		\$ 33,047
Redistricting	\$ -				\$ -			\$ -				\$ -		\$ -
Trudy Schafer Fellowship	\$ 54,053				\$ 54,053		\$ 54,053	\$ 51,053				\$ 51,053		\$ 51,053
Schools and Communities F	\$ -				\$ -		\$ -	\$ -				\$ -		\$ -
Total Board Designated	54,053	\$ 47,269			\$ 101,322		\$ 101,322	\$ 51,053	\$ 47,269			\$ 98,322		\$ 98,322
Restricted			\$ 121,980			\$ 121,980	\$ 121,980			\$ 11,492			\$ 11,492	\$ 11,492
Temporary Ending Balance Components														
Hopewell Grant														
Irvine Grant														
Easy Voter Guide														
Redistricting														
Voters Edge/Smart Voter			\$ 26,904							\$ 9,350			\$ 9,350	
SVCF			\$ 95,076							\$ 3,142			\$ 3,142	

Actually breakout could be different based on use of PPP funds.

	2020/21 Draft Budgets			2020/21 Original Budgets			2020/21 Difference						
	General Fund	Education Fund		General Fund	Education Fund		General Fund	Education Fund					
		Unrestricted	Restricted	Total		Unrestricted	Restricted	Total	Unrestricted	Restricted	Total		
Membership Dues	\$ 184,330	\$ 9,400	\$ -	\$ 9,400	\$ 188,894	\$ 9,400	\$ -	\$ 9,400	\$ (4,564)	\$ -	\$ -	1	
Grants	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$ 180,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 240,650	\$ 240,650	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (60,650)	(60,650)	2
In-Kind Revenue		\$ 86,000								\$ 86,000		-	3
Contributions	111,000	150,550	50,000	\$ 200,550	143,150	195,550	75,000	\$ 270,550	(32,150)	(45,000)	\$ (25,000)	(70,000)	4
Convention	135,000	-	-	\$ -	121,500	-	-	\$ -	13,500	-	\$ -	-	5
Earned Revenues	119,600	35,000	-	\$ 35,000	177,300	55,000	-	\$ 55,000	(57,700)	(20,000)	\$ -	(20,000)	6
Misc. Inc.	2,580	4,100	-	\$ 4,100	2,100	4,100	-	\$ 4,100	480	-	\$ -	-	
Total Income	\$ 552,510	\$ 199,050	\$ 316,000	\$ 515,050	\$ 632,944	\$ 264,050	\$ 315,650	\$ 579,700	(80,434)	(65,000)	\$ 350	(64,650)	
Personnel	287,619	115,316	143,164	258,480	321,841	123,588	162,906	286,494	(34,222)	(8,272)	\$ (19,742)	(28,014)	7
Accounting Fees	1,800	1,800	-	1,800	22,497	20,450	-	20,450	(20,697)	(18,650)	\$ -	(18,650)	7
Bank Charge Fees	8,400	3,000	500	3,500	8,400	3,000	500	3,500	-	\$ -	\$ -	-	
Supplies	4,292	739	1,966	2,705	4,530	342	7,026	7,368	(238)	397	\$ (5,060)	(4,663)	
Legal										\$ -	\$ -	-	
Telecommunications	7,473	1,368	3,910	5,278	13,431	4,400	8,000	12,400	(5,958)	(3,032)	\$ (4,090)	(7,122)	
Postage/Shipping	6,907	6,107	10,518	16,625	3,710	2,655	10,537	13,192	3,197	3,452	\$ (19)	3,433	
Occupancy	15,617	6,724	6,603	13,327	15,474	5,841	7,628	13,469	143	883	\$ (1,025)	(142)	
Equipment Rental & Maint.	3,166	1,020	1,333	2,353	3,123	945	1,452	2,397	43	75	\$ (119)	(44)	
Printing & Publications	9,915	3,895	19,687	23,582	8,787	3,874	19,720	23,594	1,128	21	\$ (33)	(12)	
Travel/Food/Lodging	123,789	10,618	3,621	14,239	134,785	16,111	11,131	27,242	(10,996)	(5,493)	\$ (7,510)	(13,003)	8
Promoton	6,258	-	500	500	6,258	-	1,000	1,000	-	-	\$ (500)	(500)	
Councils/Convention	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -	\$ -	-	
Insurance	11,229	2,157	4,293	6,450	10,521	2,147	4,307	6,454	708	10	\$ (14)	(4)	
LWVUS Mal Dues	4,800	-	-	-	3,232	-	-	-	1,568	\$ -	\$ -	-	
Fees & Subscriptions	16,463	3,588	5,685	9,273	14,684	2,589	20,103	22,692	1,779	999	\$ (14,418)	(13,419)	9
Ind. Contractors	33,510	10,290	162,229	172,519	29,929	3,968	132,231	136,199	3,581	6,322	\$ 29,998	36,320	9,10
Bad Debt										\$ -	\$ -	-	
In-Kind Expense	6,000		86,000	86,000					6,000	-	\$ 86,000	86,000	3
EF Contribution to Restricted		23,521	(23,521)			52,311	(52,311)			(28,790)	\$ 28,790	-	
Contribution to Ed Fund										\$ -	\$ -	-	
Min-Grants										\$ -	\$ -	-	
Scholarship	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500	1,500	-	-	\$ (1,500)	(1,500)	
Total Expense	\$ 547,238	\$ 190,143	\$ 426,488	\$ 616,631	\$ 601,202	\$ 242,221	\$ 335,730	\$ 577,951	\$ (53,964)	\$ (52,078)	\$ 90,758	\$ 38,680	
Net Ordinary Income	\$ 5,272	\$ 8,907	\$ (110,488)	\$ (101,581)	\$ 31,742	\$ 21,829	\$ (20,080)	\$ 1,749	\$ (26,470)	\$ (12,922)	\$ (90,408)	\$ (103,330)	
Beginning Balance Components													
Easy Voter Guide		\$ -	\$ -			\$ 12,617	\$ 12,617			\$ 12,617	\$ 12,617		
Voters Edge/Smart Voter		\$ 26,904	\$ 26,904			\$ 36,328	\$ 36,328			\$ 9,424	\$ 9,424		
Hopewell Foundation													
Redistricting													
SVCF and New Grant		\$ 95,076	\$ 95,076			\$ 51,332	\$ 51,332	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (43,744)	\$ (43,744)		
Irvine Foundation										\$ -	\$ -		
Total Beginning Fund Balance	\$ 187,861	\$ 74,095	\$ 121,980	\$ 196,075	\$ 240,982	\$ 144,639	\$ 100,277	\$ 244,916	\$ (53,121)	\$ (70,544)	\$ (21,703)	\$ 48,841	
Plus / (less) Net Ordinary Income and Utilization of Prior Year Temp													
Restricted	5,272	\$ 8,907	\$ (110,488)	\$ (101,581)	31,742	\$ 21,829	\$ (20,080)	\$ 1,749	\$ (26,470)	\$ (12,922)	\$ 90,408	\$ 103,330	
Ending Fund Balance	\$ 193,133	\$ 83,002	\$ 11,492	\$ 94,494	\$ 272,724	\$ 166,468	\$ 80,197	\$ 246,665	\$ (79,591)	\$ (83,466)	\$ 68,705	\$ 152,171	
Reserve	\$ 142,080	\$ 8,222			\$ 228,041	\$ 127,421			\$ (85,961)	\$ (119,199)			
Undesignated Funds		\$ 41,733							\$ -	\$ 41,733			
Board Designated Funds		\$ 33,047				\$ 39,047			\$ -	\$ (6,000)			
Redistricting									\$ -	\$ -			
Trudy Schafer Fellowship	\$ 51,053				\$ 44,683				\$ 6,370	\$ -			
Schools and Communities First	\$ -				\$ -				\$ -	\$ -			
Total Board Designated	\$ 51,053	\$ 47,269			\$ 44,683	\$ 39,047			\$ 6,370	\$ 8,222			
Restricted			\$ 11,492				\$ 80,197				\$ 68,705		
Temporary Ending Balance Components													
Hopewell Grant													
Irvine Grant													
Easy Voter Guide													
Redistricting													
Voters Edge/Smart Voter		\$ 9,350				\$ 30,836				\$ 21,486			
SVCF		\$ 3,142				\$ 49,361				\$ 46,219			

- GF PMP adjusted to January 2020 National report
- Plan for Library grant of \$80K for Easy Voter Guide, CIVFR 75K, and \$25 TBD education grant
- Snapchat in-kind voter education grant of \$65K AND \$20K for K Armstrong time on CIVFR - income and expense equal.
- Lowering contributions to levels experienced in the past
- Increased convention revenue for corporate sponsors and vendors
- Lowered MyLO revenue based on experience and lowering new subscriptions - \$45K, add \$1.5K workshop income, reduced county reg. revenue to current experience- \$20K
- Reduce the number of employees 1.5 FTE. No pay increases for 19/20 or 20/21. Continue using part time accounting person and contract CFO
- Reduce the number of in-person board meetings including convention to 3 instead of 5
- Removed expense placeholder for the TBD grants.
- Assumes bonus payment to search firm from designated funds in EF

LWVC May 2020 Financial Reports

The Financial Summary provides a one-page report showing both organizations financial information which includes the LWVC Summary, LWVCEF Summary, LWVCEF Unrestricted Summary, and LWVCEF Restricted Summary. In addition, two informational only combined reports are included showing the two organizations in totality and a combined unrestricted report of the LWVC and LWVCEF funds.

You can find the reports here: <https://my.lwv.org/california/lwvc-board/august-1-2020>

LWVC May 2020 Financial Report

1) Revenue Items

- a. Trudy Schafer Fellowship Fund – \$2.2K in donations as of April 2020 versus the budgeted donations of \$25K. A fundraising appeal recently went out to individuals that donated in the past. A quick review of the year-end revenue is \$10.5K (revenues may not be final).
- b. Schools and Communities First Fund – The budget did not assume any new funds. However, a donation letter was sent to selected individuals and \$7K in donations has been received. These funds helped cover personnel cost from the planned \$11K carry over from 2018/19.
- c. Amazon Grant – Staff worked with Amazon to increase the grant award to cover the server costs from the planned level of \$9K across both organizations to \$17.5K. The funds currently are shown in the LWVC financials.
- d. LEW and MyLO Revenue – The revenue for these two projects as of May 2020 is \$113K. A quick review of the LEW/MYLO revenue for year-end is \$118K (revenues may not be final) which is \$17.K lower than the budget of 135K. About half is due to MyLO (ongoing) and the other half is LEW (one-time).

2) Expense Items -

- a. Personnel –The Executive Director was hired near the end of November. The part-time Accounting Manager was hired in March. The funds originally set aside for the accounting contract services will support this new position. As of the end of April, the Trudy Schafer position became vacant. This position will remain open for the remainder of the fiscal year. In early May, a new development manager started. The hours for this position were adjusted lower to align to the League needs. These changes will slightly help the ending balance.
- b. Travel and Independent Contract Services – As a reminder, the costs for the Interim Executive Director from July through November are shown in the independent contract services and travel lines instead of the personnel costs. At this time, due to the pandemic it is believed that there will be travel savings with in-person board meetings being replaced with virtual meetings.

LWVCEF May 2020 Financial Report

- 1) Payroll Protection Program – The LWVCEF was awarded \$53K for this program. As shown on the LWVCEF Statement of Financial Position, the Payroll Protection Program is currently reflected as a loan. The Statement of Activities does not include any income. When we have shown we complied with the program, the loan will be forgiven and then the funds will show as income. Until that time, we will not see a change in income and will see the additional expense for the interest on the loan.
- 2) Fundraising – The \$20K matching fundraising campaign started at the end of May and positive results are being seen.
- 3) Revenues –
 - i. Redistricting Toolkit for Local Leagues – In October, the LWVUS EF awarded a grant of \$3K for creation of the redistricting tool. This work has been completed. The grant covered personnel time to review the toolkit. This grant was not planned and assists the Unrestricted LWVCEF.
 - ii. CIVFR Project –
 - i. In January, the second year installment of a possible three year funding was received from the Silicon Community Valley Foundation totaling \$75K. This is the planned budgeted level of support.
 - ii. Goldman Grant Update - The Goldman Fund advised LWVC to begin the grant process again and submit a Letter of Inquiry before proceeding with the application. The new LOI was submitted March 4, 2020. The budget assumed a grant of \$50K with some costs to cover existing expenses and expand the capacity of the CIVFR project.
 - iii. Easy Voter Guide and Library Grant – The 2019/20 budget assumed the California libraries would provide \$125K to cover both the primary and general election. The amount they will fund has been revised a couple times. The latest however, perhaps not the final word is that they will provide a total of \$110K total for both elections. Most of the funds will come next year. The projections assume \$25K will be received in the current year.
 - iv. Voters Edge Project – The following focuses on funding sources and funding levels different than prior years.
 - i. Public Radio – The budget assumed \$35K from the public radios to support both elections. We learned this past fall, that they will be using another group for their election information.
 - ii. Newspaper - Staff is currently working with The Sacramento Bee to provide election coverage for the March and November election. Income will be shared with Maplight. Estimated amount is around \$4,500. In March 2020, we learned the Sacramento Bee is filing for Bankruptcy and as of now the revenue expected is TBD.
 - iii. County Registrars – The budget assumed \$45K. While staff is working on some leads it is not anticipated that we will have any additional funds due to the pandemic and need for the counties to prepare for mail-in election in November. Last year actual received was \$24K.

- v. TBD Grant – The budget assumes \$75K for Voters Edge/Easy Voter Guide. While staff has written many grants and is also beginning to see voter education grant opportunities, we do not have any grant awards at this time.

4) Expenses –

- i. Personnel –The Executive Director was hired near the end of November. In addition, the part-time Accounting Manager was hired in March. The funds originally set aside for the accounting contract services will support this new position.
- ii. Easy Voter Guide – Due to the March elections only containing one proposition and lower funding by the libraries, the decision was made to only provide an online version for the primary election to lower costs.

LWVC 2021 Convention

Carol Moon Goldberg

Purpose: preliminary discussions on content and running of convention

Process: brainstorm what the Board wants members to gain from a convention; consider alternatives to in person convention and criteria to determine if there should be an in person convention

Outcome: some general understanding of what Board expects of convention 2021

Preparation: Reflect on experiences in the LWVUS virtual convention. Ask yourself:

1. What worked; what didn't?
2. Is this something to emulate?
3. Break out the crystal ball. Where will California be in spring 2021? Will members travel?
4. What does the Board need to make a decision as to whether to have an in person convention?
5. What do we want members to leave the convention with? What relevant and critical themes should be covered?
6. Is it necessary to streamline and improve the convention process regardless of what format is used?
7. Speaker suggestions?

Background: 2021 Convention is scheduled for May 21-23, 2020 in San Francisco. The past two state conventions featured an optional third day on the Thursday before the formal convening. In Sacramento four years ago there was a lobby day. In Pasadena two years ago there was a DEI workshop. An additional fee was charged for the event.

Board Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Self Training

Carol Moon Goldberg, Board President

Stephanie Doute, Executive Director

Purpose: This is a continuation of LWVC Board of Directors' effort to move forward on personal journeys of understanding and to collectively grow as a board. To support diversity, equity, and inclusion in our organization, the Board must model the introspection and study that is part of the path forward. Using a DEI lens to inform and improve Board decisions requires further continuous learning and listening.

Preparation: View [Texts to Table: A Conversation About Race and Leadership](#) by four Black Non-Profit Association CEOs, who are friends and colleagues of our Executive Director. Listen to their reactions to George Floyd's killing, to the protests, and opinions on what a good ally is. Reflect on prior DEI readings and information that you've read or that were discussed in prior board meetings. The latter half of the video at about 38:00 minute mark has a discussion of what an ally does or is.

Process: The Board will use the following questions to begin discussion, but the conversation may flow in other relevant directions as time permits:

1. How has the rise of protests, civil unrest, and the focus on criminal justice reform impacted you, the people you care about, changed your perspective, helped you develop new empathy, or given you an opportunity to learn something new?
2. What overall conclusion or impression did you come away with? What sticks out in your mind about it?
3. Reflecting on the variety of emotions and reactions to the protests, how can you use this very honest conversation to shape your reactions to, and support of, the diverse people in our League community? What can we learn from their actions/reactions with their staffs that can be translated to and applied to how we relate to the League and our communities?
4. Reflect on the prior readings the Board has used or that you pursued on your own. Do you see commonalities? What are they?
5. How do you as an individual respond to the various definitions of ally expressed by the participants? How does it make you feel and what does that mean for you in your role as an ally? How do you define allyship for yourself based on this conversation?
6. If there is no monolithic, absolute definition of ally, how do think that LWVC and local leagues make good decisions on allyship? What do we consider? Who do we consult?
7. What, if anything, did you learn about diversifying leadership in organizations?

Outcome: This self-directed work will help us continue to better understand the role Board members in using a DEI focus to analyze and assess potential decisions.

Background Information

Previous readings included:

White Fragility: Why It's so Hard for White People to Talk About Racism by Robin DiAngelo and Michael Eric Dyson

1. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/between-the-lines/201202/ten-things-everyone-should-know-about-white-privilege-today>
2. <https://www.thesunmagazine.org/issues/516/white-lies?fbclid=IwAR2IMU2vVi8eobAF4t5CjUzEU0q42nsbAdXF4Cw5lyLowsnLDHZ5aVn0nc E>
3. https://everydayfeminism.com/2014/09/non-racist-white-person/?utm_content=buffer92b7c&utm_medium=social&utm_source=facebook.com&utm_campaign=buffer
4. <https://www.vox.com/identities/2016/11/15/13595508/racism-research-study-trump>
5. <https://www.theroot.com/the-definition-danger-and-disease-of-respectability-po-1790854699>
6. You say Chicano, I say... <https://www.npr.org/transcripts/718703438>
7. podcast... [When Civility is a Cudgel Against People of Color](#)

Strategic Discussion: Defending Democracy: What If? **Carol Moon Goldberg**

Purpose: explore and discuss possible response to possible federal agency action on non-federal property against protestors in California

Process: discussion and exploration of ideas

Outcome: consensus on if and how to handle such a situation

Preparation: See [this](#) from LWVUS. Per the press release that accompanied the article the LWV Board of Directors and the LWVUS Ed Fund Board of Trustees issued the statement.

Board Meeting Schedule **Helen Hutchison**

Purpose: Determine whether current pattern of five board meetings per year, each 1 1/2 days long, is what we want to use going forward.

Process: Board discussion.

Outcome: Decision about board meeting schedule and timing.

Preparation: Think about what both the value and the cost of in-person board meetings.

Information Only

Voter Service

Carol Moon Goldberg

This will be an unusual election season with all registered voters receiving vote by mail ballots and all counties grappling with decisions about how to handle polling places/super consolidated polling places and super consolidated polling places that will have vote center-like functions.

Work on a 16-page Easy Voter Guide is underway. Given the 12 ballot propositions, the need to explain vote by mail, and renewed interest in elected offices beyond that of the legislature and president, the size of the EVG requires concise wording. With the aid of Common Knowledge staff is working on creating plain language explanations for how to physically fill out the ballot and envelope. This specific language has not been included in prior Easy Voter Guides.

Work on Pros & Cons is also underway now that the official ballot arguments and final Legislative Analysts reports are posted on the Secretary of State's website. In Depths will also be produced.

Both the Easy Voter Guide and the Pros & Cons will be released in the final week of August. We are still looking for free video opportunities for Pros & Cons presentations.

Information Only

Action Election Guide Gloria Chun Hoo

Purpose: Inform Board of plans and timetable to produce advocacy materials for upcoming November 2020 elections

Outcome: Board is informed

Preparation: Read this report before the Board meeting

Background Information

Each election cycle, LWVC produces an Action Election Guide that helps Local Leagues take action during the statewide election. Voters look to the League for guidance on ballot measures.

The Board has reviewed and taken positions on 7 of the 12 ballot measures and will review one more (Proposition 18 - Allowing 17-year-olds to vote in the state primary elections when they will be 18 by the general election) at the August meeting. On four measures, LWVC position is to be neutral or no position. Here's a spreadsheet with all of the propositions:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1N34pm0tGZ-Jw_GWK_o0Ti4GdYehBnuEBbC08rsGo4BQ/edit#gid=267845805

Our volunteer League writers, many of whom worked on writing the analyses for the ballot measures, will now be drafting language for the Action Guide (due August 11). We will edit and staff will design and produce finished materials. Our goal is to produce the Action Election Guide by **August 25**.

The Action Election Guide will include the **LWV Recommends** flyer, that is produced as both a PDF and as word document so local leagues may produce a flyer that will include local ballot measures.

In addition to the 2-page flyer, we will have background material on each ballot measure LWV Recommends and the reasoning for League support or opposition.

Unlike 2016 elections, we will produce a shorter guide without some of the extensive materials (such as sample editorials and sample press releases, and lengthier, detailed background materials) that we find is infrequently used.

Information Only

Treasurer Items, Local League Support Canfield

- 1) Treasurer Items
 - a. Finance Committee Summary Notes
 - b. Board Timesheet 2020-21
- 2) Local League Support
 - a. Potential New LLC
 - b. Support for new LLCs/Local Leagues
 - c. League Publications
 - d. LLC Tool Showing Assistance to Local Leagues
 - e. Struggling Leagues Report
 - f. LLC Local League Assignment Update for 20-21

Finance Committee Summary Notes

- Since the May board meeting, the Finance Committee met in June and July. We reviewed and discussed the March and April 2020 financials. We also reviewed the revised budget changes for 20/21 that will be presented for the board's discussion and approval at the August board meeting.
- Financial Procedures - Stephanie provided updated financial procedures and a business contingency plan to the committee. When the procedures are completed the results will be shared with both the Finance Committee and Audit Committee.
- CD Renewal – The Finance Committee agreed with recommendation to not renew the LWVCEF one year CD that was up from renewal July 22. The interest rate was dropping to 0.9% from 2.6% and it was unknown if the cash flow might be needed during the next year.

Board Timesheet 2020-21 – Board members will be provided with an excel spreadsheet to capture your time as a State Board member. Please do not include time for other roles you complete for your local League or other duties such as Voters Edge of LLC. Please complete the timesheet and submit to me by each SBK deadline with data completed for the full month completed. For example, the time period for the October board meeting you will submit time through September. Time is place in hours, Partial hours are .25 – 15 minutes, .50 – 30 minutes, .75 – 45 minutes. Time will be split 50/50 for most cases.

Local League Support

The team continues to work on finding new LLCs, working on training session for new LLCs, finalized the struggling League project, and working on updating some publications. Below is some more detail from the May, June, and July meetings.

LLC Summary Notes May, June, July Meeting

1. **Potential New LLCs** – Review possible candidates from Local League Leader list and other suggestions from LLC team. Since March we have reached out to 15 people, 2 accepted, 8 are busy now but say we can keep them on the list for the future, 4 declined, and 1 has not responded yet to a recent email invitation.

2. **Support for new LLCs/Local Leagues –**
 - a. The initial training session for the new LLCs was completed in June.
 - b. An additional training on the LLC tool and financial reimbursement was held in July.
 - c. In addition, each LLC has been assigned a LLC Buddy for their first year.
 - d. At the June meeting, the LLC team decided to work on a training to assist local Leagues on items to include and complete at a local board annual retreat. This training is still in draft development phase. It may work out to be too late for this year.
 - e. At the July meeting the LLC team agreed that we should work with others to provide training to local Leagues on virtual candidate forums.

3. **League Publications –** The group reviewed the survey. Four of the publications ranked higher on the update list. An update on these publications is given below. The goal is to work on updating any publications that are 10 years or older and to complete without needing support from the State Office if at all possible.
 - a. Publications completed and sent to LWVC staff for their review/publication
 - i. New/Not So New Board Member publication – Jeanne/Sharon Wallace
Prior publication date was 1997
 - ii. How to Make Money in the 21st Century- Tom/Jacquie prior publication date 2011
 - iii. Voter Handbook –Tom Carson and Carol Moon Goldberg did work in 2018. The publication had never been published. Carol has given direction for the handbook to be published.
 - b. MTA Manual – Caroline/Maria/Susan working for completion this fall. Prior publication date 2003

4. **LLC Tool Showing Assistance to Local Leagues –** We are still struggling to have most of the LLCs use this tool. Hopefully, the training to the new LLC will inspire the existing LLCs to enter information.

5. **Struggling Leagues Sub-Group Report Out –** in an effort to better understand Leagues that might be struggling the group reviewed a list of criteria that could collect statewide. This included:
 - Membership – Under 50 and change in membership
 - Gauging League Activity -Legislative Interviews, Voter Services Activity, Program Planning
 - Rotation of Leadership – Officers staying the same 5 years or more
 - Website – All websites were reviewed. Events, Leadership, Voter
 - Financial Compliance
 - We did not have enough data to include the rotation of leadership –so this category was not used.
 - It was also decided that the website and financial compliance were special categorizes and while important we focused on the other areas.
 - It was also decided to work to assign LLC to any League rated needing medium or high support and to cover each of the MAS units.
 - The report showed 37 Leagues rated medium to high level support plus the 5 MAS units for a total of 42 assignments. The other 22 Leagues were rated lower than medium and 17 of these Leagues had a coach assigned to them last year.

- These results will be used to assist with the assignment of LLC for the 20-21 year.
6. **LLC Local League Assignment Update for 20-21.** Caroline, Crownie and I are finalizing the 20-21 LLC assignments based on the above report.
- Since we do not have enough LLC to cover all Leagues it was hoped that we could support any League that needed medium to high level support and to provide support for each MAS unit per the board policy.
 - As this SBK is going to print, we are still thinking through how to handle the local Leagues that had a LLC in a prior year that are rate low level of support.
 - In general, most existing LLCs will be supporting two Leagues rated medium or high and several have signed up to support one low rated League.
 - New LLCs have been asked to support one League, however some have volunteered to support up to 3 Leagues with one being a lower support level.

When this work is completed, LLC assignments will be confirmed with LLCs. After which we will inform board and local Leagues