

OBSERVED MEETING: _____ City Council Meeting (Dover) _____ DATE: 6/28/21

FEATURE EVALUATED	YES	NO	COMMENTS
1. Did meeting start on time?	x		
2. Were all members present?	x		
3. Were members attentive?	x		
4. Did members ask relevant and probing questions?	x		
5. Were open-meetings laws observed?	x		
6. Were agenda made available at the meeting?	x		
7. Were all discussion items included in agenda?	x		
8. Were agenda items clearly described for discussion?	x		
9. Were agenda amendments made in a timely manner?	x		
10. Were any "Executive Sessions" called?		x	
11. Were any non-agenda items discussed?		x	
12. Were members courteous to each other and the public?	x		
13. Did any items appear to have been discussed prior to the meeting?		x	
14. Was there adequate opportunity for public input?	x		
15. Was background material available to the public?	x		
16. Did any member recuse themselves during the meeting? For what reason?		x	

Were any issues on the agenda relevant to any LWV state or local positions or programs? Yes ___ No x Do you recommend local League action on any of these issues? Yes ___ No x If "yes" what action do you recommend? Do any of the issues raised during this meeting suggest further study by the League? Yes x No ___ Observer:

Nancy Nassau Date Submitted: 6/28/21

List names of other LWV members in attendance: _____

Use the reverse side of the page to elaborate and to list any other concerns.
Starting to discuss how to use Federal funds from Covid relief. Unclear how they will decide how to use and basis of decision and discussed options, how to make it fair.

OBSERVED MEETING: Council Committee of the whole meeting

DATE: 7/13/2021

FEATURE EVALUATED	YES	NO	COMMENTS
1. Did meeting start on time?	✓		
2. Were all members present?	?		
3. Were members attentive?	✓		
4. Did members ask relevant and probing questions?	✓		
5. Were open-meetings laws observed?	✓		
6. Were agenda made available at the meeting?	✓		
7. Were all discussion items included in agenda?	✓		
8. Were agenda items clearly described for discussion?	✓		
9. Were agenda amendments made in a timely manner?		N/A	
10. Were any "Executive Sessions" called?		✓	
11. Were any non-agenda items discussed?		✓	
12. Were members courteous to each other and the public?	✓		
13. Did any items appear to have been discussed prior to the meeting?	✓		Police Academy
14. Was there adequate opportunity for public input?			I think nobody logged on
15. Was background material available to the public?	✓		
16. Did any member recuse themselves during the meeting? For what reason?		✓	

Were any issues on the agenda relevant to any LWV state or local positions or programs? Yes No Do you recommend local League action on any of these issues? Yes No If "yes" what action do you recommend? Do any of the issues raised during this meeting suggest further study by the League? Yes No Observer:

Nancy Nassan

Date Submitted: 8/12/21

List names of other LWV members in attendance: _____

Use the reverse side of the page to elaborate and to list any other concerns.
over

I tried to log onto this meeting remotely on my phone and the speaker was on mute so I couldn't hear the meeting. I don't know if it stayed muted or for how long. I then watched for the meeting to be uploaded. It was in .wma format and would not open without a file converter program. Not sure if public at large would figure out how.

Discussing having police academy but no discussion on curriculum that would be followed or new/special training for officers to learn how to deescalate situations without weapons or any training on race issues -

OBSERVED MEETING: Ment County Bd of Adjustment DATE: 7/15/2021

FEATURE EVALUATED	YES	NO	COMMENTS
1. Did meeting start on time?	Y		
2. Were all members present?	Y	N	2 missing
3. Were members attentive?	Y		
4. Did members ask relevant and probing questions?	Y		
5. Were open-meetings laws observed?	Y		
6. Were agenda made available at the meeting?	Y		
7. Were all discussion items included in agenda?	Y		
8. Were agenda items clearly described for discussion?	Y		
9. Were agenda amendments made in a timely manner?	Y		
10. Were any "Executive Sessions" called?		N	
11. Were any non-agenda items discussed?		N	
12. Were members courteous to each other and the public?	Y		
13. Did any items appear to have been discussed prior to the meeting?		N	
14. Was there adequate opportunity for public input?	Y		
15. Was background material available to the public?	Y		
16. Did any member recuse themselves during the meeting? For what reason?		N	

Were any issues on the agenda relevant to any LWV state or local positions or programs? Yes ___ No X Do you recommend local League action on any of these issues? Yes ___ No X If "yes" what action do you recommend? Do any of the issues raised during this meeting suggest further study by the League? Yes ___ No X Observer:

Sharon C. Morgan

Date Submitted: 7/15/2021

List names of other LWV members in attendance: _____

Use the reverse side of the page to elaborate and to list any other concerns.

OBSERVED MEETING: Lery Court Comm Mtg

DATE: 20 July 2021

FEATURE EVALUATED	YES	NO	COMMENTS
1. Did meeting start on time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
2. Were all members present?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3. Were members attentive?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4. Did members ask relevant and probing questions?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5. Were open-meetings laws observed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6. Were agenda made available at the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7. Were all discussion items included in agenda?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8. Were agenda items clearly described for discussion?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9. Were agenda amendments made in a timely manner?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
10. Were any "Executive Sessions" called?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
11. Were any non-agenda items discussed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Public speakers on their issues the committee approved further 1. speakers were welcomed 2. Comm. was cordial and comfortable with each other.
12. Were members courteous to each other and the public?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
13. Did any items appear to have been discussed prior to the meeting?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
14. Was there adequate opportunity for public input?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Speaker in present and online speakers on screen
15. Was background material available to the public?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
16. Did any member recuse themselves during the meeting? For what reason?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Were any issues on the agenda relevant to any LWV state or local positions or programs? Yes No Do you recommend local League action on any of these issues? Yes No If "yes" what action do you recommend? Do any of the issues raised during this meeting suggest further study by the League? Yes No Observer:

Cystal S. Hamilton

Date Submitted: 3 Aug 2021

List names of other LWV members in attendance: Nancy Messau

Use the reverse side of the page to elaborate and to list any other concerns.

OBSERVED MEETING: Levy Court DATE: 7/27/21

FEATURE EVALUATED	YES	NO	COMMENTS
1. Did meeting start on time?	x		
2. Were all members present?	x		
3. Were members attentive?	x		
4. Did members ask relevant and probing questions?	x		
5. Were open-meetings laws observed?	x		
6. Were agenda made available at the meeting?	x		
7. Were all discussion items included in agenda?	x		
8. Were agenda items clearly described for discussion?	x		
9. Were agenda amendments made in a timely manner?	x		
10. Were any "Executive Sessions" called?		x	
11. Were any non-agenda items discussed?		x	
12. Were members courteous to each other and the public?	x		
13. Did any items appear to have been discussed prior to the meeting?		x	
14. Was there adequate opportunity for public input?	x		
15. Was background material available to the public?	x		
16. Did any member recuse themselves during the meeting? For what reason?		x	

Were any issues on the agenda relevant to any LWV state or local positions or programs? Yes ___ No x Do you recommend local League action on any of these issues? Yes ___ No x If "yes" what action do you recommend? Do any of the issues raised during this meeting suggest further study by the League? Yes ___ No x Observer:

Nancy Nassau Date Submitted: 7/7/21

List names of other LWV members in attendance: attended virtually

Use the reverse side of the page to elaborate and to list any other concerns.

OBSERVED MEETING: Levy Court

DATE: 8/10/21

FEATURE EVALUATED	YES	NO	COMMENTS
1. Did meeting start on time?	✓		
2. Were all members present?	✓		
3. Were members attentive?	✓		
4. Did members ask relevant and probing questions?	✓		
5. Were open-meetings laws observed?	✓		
6. Were agenda made available at the meeting?	✓		
7. Were all discussion items included in agenda?			
8. Were agenda items clearly described for discussion?	✓		
9. Were agenda amendments made in a timely manner?	✓		
10. Were any "Executive Sessions" called?			
11. Were any non-agenda items discussed?		✓	
12. Were members courteous to each other and the public?	✓		
13. Did any items appear to have been discussed prior to the meeting?	✓		ordinance LC21-14 refunding of bonds for American Legion Ambulance Station
14. Was there adequate opportunity for public input?	✓		
15. Was background material available to the public?	✓		
16. Did any member recuse themselves during the meeting? For what reason?		✓	

Were any issues on the agenda relevant to any LWV state or local positions or programs? Yes ___ No Do you recommend local League action on any of these issues? Yes ___ No If "yes" what action do you recommend? Do any of the issues raised during this meeting suggest further study by the League? Yes ___ No ___ Observer:

Nancy Nassau

Date Submitted: 8/12/21

List names of other LWV members in attendance: watched remotely

Use the reverse side of the page to elaborate and to list any other concerns.

Note
\$100,000 given to State Police given 2 stipulations - 1-spend in Kent county by/for State Police, 2-give a letter what its spent on.
In my opinion, that is loose and ~~lax~~laisical, & irresponsible.
I believe a budget should be given to the board before \$ is spent