League of Women Voters Privatization Study – Consensus

The purpose of this study is to identify policies and parameters that should be considered when any governmental entity is planning to undertake some type of privatization process.

Background

Federal, state and local governments own extensive assets and are major employers. Governments often consider selling assets and outsourcing some functions and services to the private sector, including "core" government services such as providing for the safety, security and general welfare (public well-being) of citizens, the economy, and our country. Privatization of these government assets, services and functions has been occurring for decades at all levels of government. The consequences of "privatizing" a government service or function, in particular, may enhance the function or service. Alternatively, it may reduce or alter functions and services. Government revenues may be reduced or lost and jobs may be cut. In some instances, this may have a detrimental effect on the "common good" or public well-being.

One state, for instance, has declared as a matter of state law and policy that "using private contractors to provide public services formerly provided by state employees does not always promote the public interest. To ensure that citizens..... receive high quality public services at low cost, with due regard for tax payers...... and the needs of public and private workers, the (legislature) finds it necessary to regulate such privatization contracts throughout the state."

STUDY PROCESS

Local Leagues will present informational sessions for their membership as background on the issue of privatization. After information has been distributed to the membership via forums, emails, printed and Web resources, a meeting will be held for members to discuss Consensus questions prepared by LWVUS. Answers to these questions will be returned to the national League to determine grass roots consensus which will form the basis of League positions and policies. Consensus questions are provided below as a framework for considering information on privatization presented to League members.

PRIVATIZATION CONSENSUS QUESTIONS

| 1. | should remain with government and not be transferred to the private sector. |
|-----------------|--|
| | _Strongly agree Agree DisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus |
| 2. | As a matter of good government policy, which of the following criteria should be applied when making decisions to transfer government services, assets and functions to the private sector? |
| for co ov | Transparency and Accountability: All government contracts with private companies services must ensure public access to relevant records and information regarding ntracted services, functions and assets and provide for adequate government ersight and control. _High priority Lower priorityNot a priority No consensus |
| se es | Public Well-being: Provisions are in place to assure that, in the event any public rvices are to be privatized, there will be no increased risks to public well-being, pecially to vulnerable populations. _High priority Lower priority Not a priority No consensus |
| de | Cost and Quality: Privatized services should not appreciably increase the costs or crease the quality of services to the public. _High priority Lower priorityNot a priority No consensus |
| as | Environmental and Natural Resources : Defined parameters should be in place to sure that environmental and natural resources are not compromisedHigh priority Lower priorityNot a priority No consensus |
| pu re | Contracts and Sales of Public Assets: All government contracts and privatized blic assets should be subject to competitive bidding and comply with all laws garding awarding contracts. _High priority Lower priorityNot a priority No consensus |
| | Economic Impact: Privatization should not result in a negative economic impact on e communities in which the services are provided. High priority Lower priority Not a priority No consensus |

| g . Government Recovery of Services and Assets: Provisions should be in place to |
|---|
| recover key services, assets and functions should the private sector fail to safeguard them. |
| High priority Lower priorityNot a priority No consensus |
| 3. Privatization is not appropriate: |
| a. When the government lacks the will, ability or resources to adequately oversee contracts with the private entity and any successor thereto. AgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| b . When there is no private entity able or willing to provide the service for the short and long term. |
| AgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| c. When it poses a potential threat to national securityAgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| d. When it poses a risk to personal or security data. AgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| e. When there has been evidence of potential corruptionAgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| f. When the private entity's goals and purposes are not compatible with public well- being. |
| AgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| g. When the private entity has not complied with existing government requirements for public records, open meetings or publication of reports and audits. AgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| h. When a loss of revenue decreases government support for mandated or critical services. |
| AgreeDisagree No Consensus |
| 4. Some states have developed laws and regulations to control the process of privatization within their jurisdictions. As a general matter, should privatization be regulated? |

| a. Yes, all privatization efforts should be regulated. | |
|---|-----|
| b . Yes, some types of privatization efforts should be regulated. | |
| c. No, privatization efforts should never be regulated | |
| d. No consensus | |
| | |
| 5. Which of the following should be included in the regulatory process when privatizing | ing |
| public assets, services and functions? | |
| | |
| a. Timely public announcements regarding intentions to privatize and the clear an | nd |
| measurable expected benefits to the public | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| | |
| b . Public and stakeholder (investors, shareholders, experts) input into the decision at | nd |
| terms of the contract. | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| | |
| c. Feasibility study regarding performance, costs and benefits. | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| d Adharanca to all laws regarding public contracts | |
| d. Adherence to all laws regarding public contracts | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| e Transition plans for displaced employees. | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| | |
| f. Accountability and transparency provisions in all contracts. | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| | |
| g. Regular performance evaluations including meaningful opportunity for public | |
| comment. | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| In Descriptions for the referring a continuous and constant back to the accommendation and the con- | |
| h. Provisions for transferring services and assets back to the government or another | |
| contractor in the event of inadequate performance. | |
| Strongly agreeAgreeDisagreeStrongly disagreeNo consensus | |
| i. Adequate resources for enforcement. | |
| Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree No consensus | |